

Date: Fri, 20 Aug 93 04:30:10 PDT
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #300
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Fri, 20 Aug 93 Volume 93 : Issue 300

Today's Topics:

 STILL waiting for your license? Read this and weep! (2 msgs)

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Wed, 18 Aug 1993 23:52:42 GMT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!overload.lbl.gov!agate!spool.mu.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
news.bu.edu!dartvax!Kenneth.E.Harker@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: STILL waiting for your license? Read this and weep!
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

 For those curious on current wait times for new tickets, I just
got my license the day before yesterday (16 August) and I passed all my
tests on 22 May. That means I waited just over 12 weeks.

_ken
73 DE N1PVB

Date: 19 Aug 93 13:31:22 GMT
From: stan!not-for-mail@uunet.uu.net
Subject: STILL waiting for your license? Read this and weep!
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

While reading article <CByzKK.Kr9@news.cis.umn.edu>, I noticed that
Andre Thomas WB6ATJ <andre@boombox.micro.umn.edu> said the following:

>Re: STILL waiting for your license? Read this and weep!

>

>Note that address changes and such are taking about 3 weeks.

I can verify this. I recently moved and got my "new" license back in an astonishingly short time (I actually believe it was more like two weeks for me.)

--

William Kucharski, Solbourne Computer, Inc.	Opinions expressed herein
Internet: kucharsk@solbourne.com Ham: N00KQ	are MINE alone, NOT those
Snail Mail: 1900 Pike Road, Longmont, CO 80501	of Solbourne Computer, Inc.
President, "Just the Ten of Us" Fan Club	"Dittos from Longmont, CO"

Date: Thu, 19 Aug 1993 02:12:59 GMT

From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!overload.lbl.gov!agate!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!gatech!news-feed-1.peachnet.edu!concert!uvaarpa!murdoch!livia.acs.Virginia.EDU!

jeg7e@network.ucsd.edu

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <CBvEFo.H8q@fc.hp.com>, <1993Aug17.011949.18402@newsgate.sps.mot.com>, <CBz3F1.M8L@fc.hp.com>-1.

Subject : Re: CW Privilages

>I feel this is intellectual elitism. Usenet is filled with college
>grads that have learned to study and take test. The rest of the world
>that barely made it through high school doesn't post here.

I dropped out of school before the 5th grade. This is a phantom post,
I suppose.

>OK, so it's hazing. The Dept of Motor Vehicles hazes you with written
>and a practical test too.

Hogwash, the DMV fakes an attempt to ensure minimal competence. It is not
'hazing'

--

\\ \ / Jon Gefaell, Computer Systems Engineer | Amateur Radio - KD4CQY
\\ \ / Information Technology and Communications | -Will chmod for food-
\\ \ / The University of Virginia, Charlottesville | Hacker@Virginia.EDU
Any opinions expressed herein are not intended to be construed as those of UVA

Date: 19 Aug 1993 09:50:43 -0400

From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!overload.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!noc.near.net!

news.delphi.com!news.delphi.com!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1993Aug4.075341.1@ttd.teradyne.com>, <1993Aug6.070621@IASTATE.EDU>,
<DRT.93Aug6120245@e40-008-12.mit.edu>om
Subject : Re: Techs on 20M ? Crossband repeat.

Dunno about France in particular, but a lot of countries have an exemption
for "third-partyt" traffic that only involves licensed amateurs.

-- Ed Ellers, KD4AWQ

Date: 19 Aug 1993 15:19:47 GMT
From: drt@athena.mit.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1993Aug6.070621@IASTATE.EDU>, <DRT.93Aug6120245@e40-008-12.mit.edu>,
<2500fj\$595@news.delphi.com>
Subject : Re: Techs on 20M ? Crossband repeat.

In article <2500fj\$595@news.delphi.com>, edellers@news.delphi.com
(EDELERS@DELPHI.COM) writes:
> Dunno about France in particular, but a lot of countries have an exemption
> for "third-partyt" traffic that only involves licensed amateurs.
>
> -- Ed Ellers, KD4AWQ
>
>

WHO ARE THEMSELVES LICENSED TO BE THE CONTROL OPERATOR
OF THE FIRST STATION IN QUESTION (ON 20, *NOT* 2 METERS)!

97.115(a(2)) "... This prohibition does not apply to a message for any
third party who is eligible to be a control operator of the station."

The 2m link might as well be a phone patch.

(At least in the US, and I'll bet elsewhere, too. The US is not exactly
a restrictive country when it comes to 3d party traffic. Anyway, only
Part 97 need be considered here.

Corrections from abroad are most welcome.)

-drt

--

David R. Tucker KG2S drt@athena.mit.edu

|'Most political sermons teach the congregation nothing except |
what newspapers are taken at the Rectory.' -C.S. Lewis

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #300
